UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

| | United States of America | ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL |
|---|---|---|
| | V. Jeffrey Allen Koster Defendant | Case No. 1: 09 Cr 290 |
| | | er the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require |
| | | Part I – Findings of Fact |
| (1) | | nse described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had |
| | a crime of violence as defi which the prison term is 1 | ned in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) for) years or more. |
| | an offense for which the maximu | m sentence is death or life imprisonment. |
| | an offense for which a maximum | prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in: |
| | | endant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 mparable state or local offenses. |
| | any felony that is not a crime of a minor victim | |
| | | se of a firearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon under 18 U.S.C. § 2250 |
| (2) | The offense described in finding (1) was or local offense. | is committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state |
| (3) | A period of less than 5 years has elaps offense described in finding (1). | sed since the date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the |
| (4) | | outtable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another that defendant has not rebutted that presumption. |
| | | Alternative Findings (A) |
| <u>√</u> (1) | There is probable cause to believe tha | t the defendant has committed an offense |
| | for which a maximum prison terr Controlled Substances Act (21 under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). | n of ten years or more is prescribed in: J.S.C. 801 et seq.) .* |
| (2) | | esumption established by finding (1) that no condition will reasonably assure the of the community. |
| √ (1) | There is a serious risk that the defenda | Alternative Findings (B) ant will not appear. |
| (2) | There is a serious risk that the defenda | ant will endanger the safety of another person or the community. |
| 、 , | Part II – | Statement of the Reasons for Detention |
| evidence defenda numero occurrin | _ ✓ a preponderance of the evidence ant is a 44-year old man with a crack co us misdemeanor convictions. His crim | caine addiction. Defendant does not seem to have felony convictions but has inal history reflects 12 documented failures to appear, the most recent one cord of appearing in state court makes it unlikely that any conditions of release |
| | Part | III – Directions Regarding Detention |
| correction appeal. | ns facility separate, to the extent practic The defendant must be afforded a reaso | dy of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a lable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending mable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United |
| | ourt or on request of an attorney for the it to the United States marshal for a cou | Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the rt appearance. |
| Date: _ | October 23, 2009 | Judge's Signature: /s/ Joseph G. Scoville |
| | | Name and Title: Joseph G. Scoville, U.S. Magistrate Judge |